DEC N 2004 10:01 PM FR PFIZER PATENTILEGALES! 5221 TO 9170387293067737 P.04 CENTRAL FAX CENTER Patent Application DEC 1.7 2004 Attorney Docket No. PC18132A I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent facabilite to: USPTO, Hon. Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 via, facabilitie number 700-872-9306. Attn: Examiner Delia M. Ramirez, on this 4.2. day of December, 2004. Kathleen A. Ranney (Typed or printed name of person) IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Fle Kurposi Only IN RE APPLICATION OF: Patricia Soulard et al. Examiner: Delia M. Ramirez **APPLICATION NO.:** 09/966,781 Group Art Unit: 1652 FILING DATE: 09/28/01 TITLE: POLYPEPTIDES EXHIBITING PDE7 ACTIVITY AND THEIR USE FOR SELECTING **COMPOUNDS WHICH INHIBIT PDE7 ENZYME ACTIVITY** Mail Stop Amendment Hon. Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 SIr: AMENDMENT A This is in reply to the Office Action mailed June 17, 2004 in the aboveidentified Application and having an original period of response of three months. A Petition for Extension of Time Pursuant to 37 §1.136(a) is being filed herewith that respectfully requests that the term for response to the Examiner's Action in this Application, having an original period for response of three months, which expired on September 17, 2004, be extended by three months, such that it includes and expires on December 17, 2004. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, which may be required under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 and 1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 16-1445. In response to the Office Action mailed June 17, 2004, please amend the above-Identified application as follows: Amendments to the specification begin on page 3 of this Amendment. 161445

PAGE 4/47 * RCVD AT 12/17/2004 10:02:22 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNS:8729306 * CSID:880 441 5221 * DURATION (mm-ss) 12-40 8

150,

01/10/2005 GURRERL

31/07/2005 GTRANNEL

500

Patent Application Attorney Docket No. PC18132A

above for the polypeptide disclosed in Han et al., the Hoffman et al. polypeptide is also not the polypeptide of the kit of presently amended claim 53.

Moreover, neither Hoffman et al. nor Han et al. teach the mutant of the presently claimed invention. In addition, neither Hoffman et al. nor Han et al. disclose the activity of the presently claimed mutant. Furthermore, neither Hoffman et al. nor Han et al. teach or suggest a kit to screen for compounds that inhibit PDE7. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to make the presently claimed kit and would also not have had a reasonable expectation of success.

For at least these reasons, Hoffman et al. in view of Han et al. would not have made the presently claimed invention prima facle obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

26. The subject matter of the presently pending claims was commonly owned the invention covered therein were made,

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks and amendments filed herewith, Applicants believe the Application and all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance and such favorable action is respectfully solicited. The Examiner is respectfully urged to contact the undersigned attorney for purposes of favorable advancing the prosecution of this Application.

Date: 12/17/2004

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen A. Ranney Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 37,702

Pfizer Inc.
Patent Department, MS 8260-1611
Eastern Point Road
Groton, Connecticut 06340
(860) 715-1139